

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE J	PAGE OF PAGES 1 8
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0002	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 06-May-2004	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. W68SBV-3338- ----		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY WALLA WALLA CONTRACTING DIVISION 201 N THIRD WALLA WALLA WA 99362- ----	CODE W912EF	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) WALLA WALLA PATTI RECORD 509/527- PATTI.C.RECORD@USACE.ARMY.MI WALLA WALLA ----		CODE W912EF
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. W912EF-04-R-0009	
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 19-Mar-2004	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended.				
Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)				
SUPPLY: Digital Exciters for Lower Monumental Dam (Units 1-3), and Lower Granite Dam (Units 1-3), Franklin and Garfield Counties, Bid opening date is being extended. Replace Section L and Section M with the attached Revised Section L and Section .. A new bid opening date and time will be published in the forthcoming technical				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. DATE SIGNED 06-May-2004	

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SECTION L - INSTRUCTIONS, CONDITIONS AND NOTICES TO BIDDERS

REVISED
SECTION L
INSTRUCTIONS, CONDITIONS, AND NOTICES TO OFFERORS

L-1 INQUIRIES (1978 SEP)

Prospective offerors may submit telephone inquiries by calling the following persons concerning administrative matters or technical matters relating to plans and specifications. (**Collect calls will not be accepted**)

Telephone inquires: (509) 527-7224

E-mail: patti.c.record@usace.army.mil

FAX: (509) 527-7802

Written inquiries can be addressed to Chief, Contracting Division, at the issuing office indicated on Standard Form 33.

L-2 PROPOSAL COMPOSITION AND SUBMISSION

The proposal shall be submitted in two volumes as follows:

a. VOLUME I: The Technical Proposal (original plus five separate copies) shall demonstrate the merit of the technical approach offered and shall consist of information and data to facilitate technical rating of proposals. The Offeror's technical proposal shall address all of the paragraph numbers of the Technical Specifications of this solicitation as listed in the Table of Conformance included in Appendix 1 to this section. The explanation shall be in sufficient detail to allow a reviewer to evaluate the proposal for compliance with the specification referenced. The technical portion of the Offeror's proposal shall include the following:

1. Technical Performance

(a) Technical Design and Table of Conformance. Technical design shall include descriptions of equipment, algorithms and human interface. Table of Conformance shall conform to all requirements of paragraph L-3.

(b) Test Methods and Plans. A plan describing the test methods, equipment and connections to be employed in all tests, including test values and rejection criteria. The test plans shall contain schematics showing all connections to the exciter being tested. The test plans for Factory and Field tests shall include the following details:

(1) Frequency Response Test Plan.

Provide a plan for a test of the overall frequency response of the excitation control system, with the unit on line and the PSS loop open, to verify on-line excitation system performance. The plan shall include measurement of the quantities listed in Section 16251, Paragraph 3.5.8.

(2) Small Signal Performance Test Plan.

Provide a plan for a step response test of the small signal performance of the excitation control system as listed in Section 16251, Paragraph 3.5.9.

2. Past Performance. Compile and submit a complete listing of all installations within the last 2 years which incorporate the Offeror's proposed excitation system. The installed excitation systems shall be of equal or greater functionality as the one required by this solicitation and shall have been supplied for generators rated 50 MVA or greater. Show location and rating of the equipment, the year in which it was installed, and the name and telephone number of an individual at the project (fluent in English) who can be contacted for information on the equipment. The list shall meet the requirements of the FORMAT AND CONTENT paragraph.

3. Maintainability. Provide the following data on an excitation system, similar to the one required by this solicitation, to determine the overall maintenance rating of the exciter:

(a) Hardware Mounting. Provide internal equipment mounting drawings and details on the equipment isolation shielding from the SCR bridge.

(b) Self Diagnostic Capability. Provide information describing the ability of the exciter to monitor the function of its circuitry and to annunciate or log malfunctions.

(c) Maintenance Accessibility. Provide layout drawings, photographs, and details of an excitation system similar to the one proposed under this solicitation, to show ease of access for efficient and safe maintenance.

(d) Communication Interface. Specify the type of interface to be used and the features of the interface.

(e) Efficiency. Provide the calculated excitation (combined PPT, rectifier bridge, and voltage regulator) losses for each type of exciter proposed at maximum load.

(f) Customer Support. Provide a customer support plan and provide an example of your O&M manuals.

(g) Training Program. Provide a training plan for an exciter system similar to the system proposed.

4. Type, Completeness and Applicability of Software. Identify the levels of software codes (program algorithms, software source code, software object code, software executable code) for all Contractor developed software installed on the excitation systems which will be provided to the Government. Demonstrate in-house capability of making hardware and software compatible.

5. Subcontracting. Provide the amount of subcontracting anticipated for this contract, and the percentage of it that will be by small business, small disadvantaged business, women-owned small business and historically underdeveloped business zone (HUBZone) small business.

The proposal shall identify the firms along with a description of the supplies and services to be subcontracted. If a large business, the offeror must demonstrate their compliance with meeting their subcontracting goals on previous acquisitions requiring the submission of subcontracting plans.

b. VOLUME II: The price proposal (original plus five separate copies) shall include and be submitted in the following order:

(a) Section A, Solicitation, Offer, and Award (SF33)

(b) Section B, Bid Items 0001 through 0013

(c) Section K, Representations, Certifications and Other

Statements of Offerors

L-3 TABLE OF CONFORMANCE INSTRUCTIONS

L-3.1 General

The Offeror shall provide as part of the proposal a Table of Conformance (TOC). A TOC format is provided with specification paragraphs listed. See Appendix 1 located at the end of Section L. The Offeror must respond using the same paragraph order as shown. Each item in the TOC shall include a cross reference to corresponding paragraph(s) and page number(s) of the Offeror's discussion pertaining to that item. The referenced paragraph shall clearly and completely show how the specification requirements are met. Simple statements such as "conform" which indicate understanding of the requirements, are not adequate. Similarly, phrases such as "standard procedures will be implemented" or "well-known techniques will be used," etc. are also inadequate.

L-3.2 TOC Format

The TOC shall include three columns for the levels of conformance: C for Conforms Completely; A for Alternate Proposal; X for Exception. The Offeror shall designate each item in the TOC by one of these levels. Definitions of these levels are as follows:

a. C (Conform): The use of the "C" code when referring to a specification requirement shall mean unequivocal conformance, and shall not be limited or modified by subsequent remarks or clarifications. References fully explaining how the proposed system conforms are required.

b. (Alternate): The proposal provides an alternate method of meeting the functional requirements which are either equal or superior to the method described in the specification. An alternate proposal shall meet all of the specification requirements and provide all of the functions specified in the specification paragraph it is referenced to. The Offeror shall provide information which clearly and completely describes how his system functions and how it deviates from the specification requirement.

c. X (Exception): An exception shall mean either of the following:

(1) The Offeror is unable or unwilling to conform to the specification requirement(s) because of either technical differences or the belief that meeting the requirement is not necessary to accomplish the basic functional or performance requirements and would require excessive one-time costs so that the feature is therefore not offered; or

(2) The feature offered as an alternative does not unequivocally meet the stated requirements of the referenced paragraph(s) but does meet the functional needs and performance. Therefore, the alternative is proposed for possible acceptance by the Government. In the event that the Offeror chooses not to provide a feature required by the specifications, the Offeror shall clearly and completely describe what requirements his proposal is taking exception to, and his reasons for taking an exception to the requirements.

L-4 FORMAT AND CONTENT

Proposals shall contain, as a minimum, the information specified above in accordance with the following guidelines:

a. Pages containing text shall be consecutively numbered, single spaced, typewritten or typeset in 10-point or larger type, single sided, on 8½" x 11" paper with margins of at least one inch. Pages shall be placed in loose-leaf binders. Proposals shall not be permanently bound, in order to facilitate incorporation of the proposal into the final contract document.

(1) It is the offeror's responsibility to insure that the proposal is complete prior to submittal. The evaluation panel for the Government may evaluate solely on the information provided in the proposal and will not assume that an offeror possesses any capability unless specified in the proposal.

L-5 QUALIFICATIONS

Each offeror shall state in the proposal whether they are now or ever have been engaged on any contract or other work similar to that proposed. Provide information on these contracts in the list prepared above. Submit such other information as will tend to reveal ability to prosecute vigorously the work required by this solicitation. The previous manufacturing experience and present manufacturing and testing facilities will be considered in determining whether the bidder is qualified to perform the work. Offerors must have the necessary capital and experience, and own, control by firm option, or can procure the necessary plant to commence the work at the time prescribed in the solicitation and thereafter to prosecute and complete the work within the time specified; and they must not be already obligated for the performance of other work which would delay the commencement or completion of the work contemplated under this solicitation.

SECTION M - EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

REVISED
SECTION M
EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

CLAUSES INCORPORATED BY FULL TEXT

M EVALUATION AND AWARD PROCEDURES

M-1 General

Subject to provisions contained herein, award will be made to the offeror providing the best value based on technical and price criteria. No proposal will be accepted that does not address all criteria specified in this RFP or which includes stipulations or qualifying conditions. To be considered for award, proposals shall conform to the terms and conditions contained in this RFP.

The proposal shall not merely offer to perform the work in accordance with, or simply repeat, the specifications, but shall reflect an understanding of the work environment, contract requirements, technical approaches, principles and techniques. Repeating or restating the specifications without sufficient elaboration is not acceptable.

The Government will establish a source selection evaluation board to conduct an evaluation of all proposals received. The board will consist of technical and procurement personnel. The evaluation will be based on the evaluation factors and subfactors as noted in this Section M. The evaluation board will not consider any information or data incorporated by reference or otherwise referred to.

Proposals will be evaluated and contract awarded based upon the best value to the Government. In making this determination, the Government is concerned with achieving the most favorable balance among technical and price factors.

M-2 Proposal screening criteria

At a minimum, the contractor shall be compliant with the Table of Mandatory Compliance in Section L.

M-3 Evaluation factors

FACTOR I -- TECHNICAL PERFORMANCE

The offeror shall be evaluated with a higher rating for a proposal that provides the best solutions for requirements identified in the Table of Conformance in Section L. It is a minimum requirement that an offeror concur with 75% of the items in the Table of Conformance.

FACTOR II -- PAST PERFORMANCE

The offeror shall be rated for past performance. Information the offeror provides and information obtained from other sources will be utilized to evaluate past performance. Ratings ranging from excellent through good and fair to poor will be assigned based on interviews with past customers. The greater number of customers providing good or higher ratings will result in a more favorable overall past performance evaluation.

FACTOR III – PRICE

The Government will use the tradeoff evaluation process (see FAR 15.101-1) in making the best value determination. The tradeoff process permits tradeoffs among cost or price and non-cost factors and allows the Government to consider award to other than the lowest priced offeror or other than the highest technically rated offeror.

The evaluated price will be used in the determination of the award, provided that it is fair and reasonable and the proposal is otherwise in the Government's best interest. The price proposal will be reviewed for completeness and compatibility with the technical proposal. The reasonableness of an offeror's price proposal will be evaluated based on the Government's cost estimates and the offeror's technical approach to the Scope of Work.

FACTOR IV -- MAINTAINABILITY OF PROPOSED DESIGN

Maintainability of the proposed design, including but not limited to, usefulness of the O&M manuals, extent of self-diagnostics, ease of access to physical components, customer support, quality of the training program proposed, and capability included for ease of performing testing per IEEE 421.2 and diagnostic testing will be evaluated based on the judgment of the evaluators:

FACTOR V – EFFICIENCY

The proposal will be rated on the contractor's ability to provide a satisfactory efficiency rating. Offerors who demonstrate a capability of providing the best efficiency rating will be given a higher rating.

FACTOR VI -- TYPE, COMPLETENESS AND APPLICABILITY OF SOFTWARE

Offerors who demonstrate in-house capability of making hardware and software compatible will receive a better rating. The more projects and the more relevant the projects are to the scope of work in this RFP, the better the assigned rating.

FACTOR VII-SUBCONTRACTING

The proposal will be evaluated based on the extent of subcontracting effort. At a minimum proposals need to provide information that can be measured against the following solicitation/contract subcontracting goals:

*Small Business	57.2%
*Small Disadvantaged Business	10.0%
*Women-Owned Small Business	10.0%
*Historically Underdeveloped Business Zone (HUBZone) Small Business	3.0%
*Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business	3.0%

* Based on dollar amount subcontracted.

EVALUATION FACTOR ORDER OF IMPORTANCE

FACTOR I - TECHNICAL PERFORMANCE

FACTOR II - PAST PERFORMANCE

FACTOR III - PRICE

FACTOR IV - MAINTAINABILITY OF PROPOSED DESIGN

FACTOR V - EFFICIENCY

FACTOR VI - TYPE, COMPLETENESS AND APPLICABILITY OF SOFTWARE

FACTOR VII - SDB Participation

Factor I is **significantly more important** than Factors II and III. Factors II and III are equal. Factors II through III are **more important** than Factors IV and V. Factors IV and V are equal. Factors IV and V are **more important** than factors VI and VII. Factors VI and VII are equal.

NOTE: When combined, all factors other than price are significantly more important than cost or price. The degree of importance of price as a factor shall become more important when technical proposals are of relatively equal merit.

M-2 Award

The Government intends to make only one award as a result of this solicitation.

(End of Summary of Changes)