

INFORMATION SHEET
DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS
RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK
COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: Walla Walla District

FILE NUMBER: NWW No. 052600049

REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Eric M. Gerke **Date:** April 22, 2005

PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: **In the office (Y/N)** Y **Date:** _____
At the project site (Y/N) Y **Date:** _____

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: Idaho

County: Canyon

Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: Zone:50 North:4823297 East:462160

Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): <25 acres

Name of waterway or watershed: Boise River Watershed

SITE CONDITIONS:

Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands									
Slough									
Prairie pothole									
Wet meadow									
Playa lake									
Vernal pool									
Natural pond									
Other water (identify type)	X								
<u>Roadside Ditch</u>									

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :	If Known		If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment		
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able To Make Determination
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?	X				
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?	X				
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?		X			
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?		X			

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION: Preliminary _____ Or Approved X

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

Wetlands A, B, and C are not jurisdictional because they are isolated, non-navigable intrastate wetlands with no nexus to interstate commerce. These wetlands are located in depressions (drainage ditch line) between the Union Pacific railroad tracks and Railroad Street. These wetlands receive surface runoff from the rail and vehicular roadways but they have no apparent surface water connection to any water of the U.S. These wetlands are not subject to boating use and are not used in interstate commerce but they are probably used by migratory birds. However, based on Solid Waste Agency of Northern Cook County v. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (531 U.S. 159 (2001)), isolated, non-navigable intrastate waters are not jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act, if the sole interstate commerce nexus is the use of such waters by migratory birds or other factors in the Migratory Bird Rule.