

# YAKIMA RIVER DELTA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION

# Final Feasibility Report with Integrated Environmental Assessment

**Appendix B** 

Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive Waste Report

Yakima River Delta Ecosystem Restoration Final Feasibility Report with Integrated Environmental Assessment Appendix B, Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive Waste Report

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITION OF PROPERTY

This form covers Purpose, Site Location, Current Use of Property and adjacent property, Historical Use of Property and Adjacent Property, User provided Information, Site Reconnaissance, and Records Search and Interviews. Specific Records Search and Interview information will be provided in sections 4.0 and 5.0. Pictures, Maps, Record and Interview information are appendices.

Project Name:	Project #:	Address/location:
Yakima River Delta Ecology Restoration Feasilibity Study	PPL-C-2019-0039	Western and southern shoreline of Bateman Island, Bateman Island Causeway and Columbia Marina

#### 1.0 Purpose

The purpose of conducting this Environmental Condition of Property Report is to identify recognized environmental conditions (RECs) of the Bateman Island corridor study area (Subject Property) in accordance with the American Society for Testing and materials (ASTM) International E1527-21. REC means the presence or likely presence of any hazardous substances or petroleum products in, on, or at a property.

#### 2.0 Site Description

#### 2.1 Property Legal Description and Site Address:

The western and southern shoreline of Bateman Island and adjacent northern shoreline south of the Causeway. The shoreline of parcels 130099200000120000 Q-1289, 129999200001000 Q-1282, 11999100000000 R-1401. Columbia Park Marina is an adjacent property and not identified within the Subject Property. Wye Park is an adjacent property and not identified as within the Subject Property.

#### 2.2 Site and Vicinity General Characteristics:

Bateman Island and the causeway are undeveloped areas that have been historically been used for agriculture practices. The causeway was built in the 1950's, presumably by farmers needing to transport farm equipment to the island. Based on aerial photographs, Bateman Island was farmed until the late 1950's early 1960's. There was a homesite on the island on the western shoreline. The shoreline opposite Bateman Island is Wye Park, Columbia Park and the Columbia Park Marina. All parcels within the subject property and the Columbia Park Marina property are owned by the Corps. The Corps owns the Columbia Park Marina parcel and subleases it to Columbia Park Marina, LLC.

3.0 General Site Setting

Yes answers must be documented. Records and interviews must be documented.			
a. Current and Past use of Property:			
(1)(a) Is the property used for industrial use?			
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
(1)(b) Is any adjoining property used for an industrial use? For the purp	oses of this	inquiry, a	djoining

property is considered to be property located within a quarter mile of the sproperties located within a mile of the subject property that exhibit a pote	3 1 1		
concern.  Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
(2)(a) Did you observe evidence or do you have any prior knowledge that been used for an industrial use in the past?	it the subject	et property	has
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
			. 1
(2)(b) Did you observe evidence or do you have any prior knowledge that been used for an industrial use in the past?	it any adjon	ning prope	erty has
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
(3)(a) Is the subject property used as a gasoline station, motor repair faci developing laboratory, junkyard, or landfill, or as a waste treatment, stora or recycling facility (if applicable, identify which)?	age, disposa	al, process	ing,
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
(3)(b) Is any adjoining property used as a gasoline station, motor repair for developing laboratory, junkyard, or landfill, or as a waste treatment, storal recycling facility (if applicable, identify which)?	ige, disposa		ng, or
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	☐ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	■ Yes	☐ No	
(4)(a) Did you observe evidence or do you have any prior knowledge that been used as a gasoline station, motor repair facility, dry cleaners, photo junkyard, or landfill, or as a waste treatment, storage, disposal, processing applicable, identify which)?	developing g, or recycl	laboratory ing facility	y, y (if
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
(4)(b) Did you observe evidence or do you have any prior knowledge that been used as a gasoline station, motor repair facility, dry cleaners, photo of junkyard, or landfill, or as a waste treatment, storage, disposal, processing applicable, identify which)?  Record Search and/or Interview:	developing	laboratory	,
Observed during site visit:	■ Yes	☐ No	
b. Specific Property Conditions/Exterior Observations	11		1
<ul><li>(5)(a) Are there currently any damaged or discarded automotive or indust paints, or other chemicals, hazardous substances or petroleum products in &gt;5 gal (19 L) in volume or 50 gal (190 L) in the aggregate, stored on or u or at the facility?</li></ul>	n individual	container	s of
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
(5)(b) Did you observe evidence or do you have any prior knowledge that any damaged or discarded automotive or industrial batteries, pesticides, period hazardous substances or petroleum products in individual containers of 50 gal (190 L) in the aggregate, stored on or used at the adjacent property	oaints, or oth 5 gal (19 L)	her chemic ) in volum	als,

Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	☐ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
(6)(a) Are there currently any industrial drums (typically 55 gal (208 L)) on the property or facility?	or sacks of	chemicals	located
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
(6)(b) Did you observe evidence or do you have any prior knowledge that any industrial drums (typically 55 gal (208 L)) or sacks of chemicals located the control of the co			
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
(7)(a) Did you observe evidence or do you have any prior knowledge tha		as been	
brought onto the subject property that originated from a contaminated site			
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
(8)(a) Are there currently any pits, ponds, or lagoons located on the subjection	ect property	y in connec	ction
with waste treatment or waste disposal?			
Record Search and/or Interview:	☐ Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
(8)(b) Did you observe evidence or do you have any prior knowledge that	t there hav	e been	•
previously, any pits, ponds, or lagoons located on the subject property in			e
treatment or waste disposal?			
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
(9)(a) Is there currently any stained soil on the subject property?			l
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
(9)(b) Did you observe evidence or do you have any prior knowledge that	t there hav	e been	
previously, any stained soil on the subject property?			
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
(10)(a) Are there currently any registered or unregistered storage tanks (a located on the subject property?	bove or un	nderground	1)
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
(10)(b) Did you observe evidence or do you have any prior knowledge th			I
previously, any registered or unregistered storage tanks (above or undergi	round) loca	ited on the	subject
propertyRecord Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
(11)(a) Are there currently any vent pipes, fill pipes, or access ways indic			
protruding from the ground on the property or adjacent to any structure lo			
propertyRecord Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
(11)(b) Did you observe evidence or do you have any prior knowledge th	at there ha	ve been pro	eviously,

any vent pipes, fill pipes, or access ways indicating a fill pipe protruding from the ground on the property or adjacent to any structure located on the subject property?					
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk		
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No			
(12)(a) Are there currently any strong, pungent, or noxious odors located	on the sub	ject proper	ty?		
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk		
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No			
(12)(b) Did you observe evidence or do you have any prior knowledge the previously, any strong, pungent, or noxious odors located on the subject provides the previously.		ve been			
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk		
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No			
(13)(a) Are there currently any standing surface water, pools or sumps co	_	quids likel	y to		
be hazardous substances or petroleum products, located on the subject pro	<del></del>				
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk		
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	. 1		
(13)(b) Did you observe evidence or do you have any prior knowledge the any standing surface water, pools or sumps containing liquids likely to be					
petroleum products located on the subject property?					
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk		
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No			
c. Facility Conditions or Interior Observations					
(c.)(1) Are there facilities currently on subject property?	Yes	■ No	Unk		
(c.)(2) Is there evidence or prior knowledge of facilities previously on the subject property		☐ No	Unk		
If answers (c.)(1) and (c.)(2) are No, the					
(14)(a) Is there currently evidence of leaks, releases or staining by substa					
foul odors, associated with any flooring, drains, walls, ceilings, or expose					
propertyRecord Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk		
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No			
(14)(b) Did you observe evidence or do you have any prior knowledge th any leaks, releases or staining by substances other than water, or foul odo	rs, associat	ed with an	у		
flooring, drains, walls, ceilings, or exposed grounds on the subject proper  Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes				
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	∐ Unk		
<u> </u>		INO			
	aat nranart	v includin	a tha		
(15) Describe the means of heating and cooling the buildings on the subjudged fuel source for heating and cooling.	ect propert	y, includin	g the		
		y, includin	g the		
fuel source for heating and cooling.  No buildings are within the Subject Property. No heating or cooling or fue  (16) Describe sumps or drains visually and/or physically observed or identical source for heating and cooling.	els.				
fuel source for heating and cooling.  No buildings are within the Subject Property. No heating or cooling or fue  (16) Describe sumps or drains visually and/or physically observed or identical are present in the buildings on the property.	els.				
fuel source for heating and cooling.  No buildings are within the Subject Property. No heating or cooling or fue  (16) Describe sumps or drains visually and/or physically observed or identical source for heating and cooling.	els.				
fuel source for heating and cooling.  No buildings are within the Subject Property. No heating or cooling or fue  (16) Describe sumps or drains visually and/or physically observed or identate present in the buildings on the property.	els.				

City drinking water is available through water fountains located along the Bateman Island at Wye Park, Columbia Park and the Columbia Park Ma		e opposi	te
(18) Identify the sewage disposal for the subject property.			
Wye Park and the Columbia Park Marina provide flushable bathrooms. of the City sewage system.	Sewage	disposal	is part
(19)(a) If the property is served by a private well or non-public water sy you have prior knowledge that contaminants have been have been identified exceed guidelines applicable to the water system?	fied in the w	ell or syste	em that
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
(19)(b) If the property is served by a private well or non-public water sy you have prior knowledge that the well has been designated as contamine environmental/health agency?  Record Search and/or Interview:			
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
(19)(c) Does the property discharge waste water (not including sanitary or adjacent to the subject property and/or into a storm water system?	waste or sto	orm water)	onto
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
(19)(d) Does the property discharge waste water (not including sanitary or adjacent to the subject property and/or into a sanitary sewer system?	waste or sto	orm water)	onto
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
(20)(a) Has there been a discharge of any substance or material from the that might contaminate the public water system?	subject pro	pperty	ı
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
(20)(b) Is the subject property known to be served by asbestos cement n or piping that uses copper and/or lead solder?	nains, lead o	containing	lines,
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
(21)(a) Is the subject property served by a private/nonpublic water syste found to be contaminated in excess of drinking water guidelines or other		been	
contaminated in excess of drinking water guidelines of other	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
e. CERCLA and Related Liability			
(22) Is there any knowledge of environmental remediation orders or agr	eements app	olicable to	the
property or any facility located on the subject property?	··		I 🗖
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
(23)(a) Is there information on the past existence of hazardous substance with respect to the subject property or any facility located on the subject		eum produ	cts

Record Search and/or Interview:	∐ Yes	■ No	∐ Unk					
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No						
(23)(b) Is there information on the current existence of hazardous substances or petroleum products with respect to the property or any facility located on the subject property?								
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk					
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No						
(23)(c) Is there information on the past existence of environmental violation	ions with r	espect to						
the property or any facility located on the subject property?								
Record Search and/or Interview:	∐ Yes	■ No	Unk					
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No						
(23)(d) Is there information on the current existence of environmental vio	olations wi	th respect	to					
the property or any facility located on the subject property?								
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk					
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No						
(24) Is there any knowledge of any environmental site assessment of the	roperty or	facility th	ı at					
indicated the presence of hazardous substances or petroleum products on,								
property or recommended further assessment of the subject property?		,						
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk					
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No						
(25) Is there any knowledge of any past, threatened, or pending lawsuits of	or administ	rative proc	reedings					
concerning a release or threatened release of any hazardous substances or	netroleum	products i	nvolving					
the property by any owner or occupant of the subject property?	Pouroitaini	pro dure to 1	11,01,1118					
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk					
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No						
~			+:£:1					
(26) Is there any prior knowledge that any hazardous substances or petrol waste materials, tires, automotive or industrial batteries, or any other wast								
	e materiais	s nave been	n dumped					
above grade, buried and or burned on the subject property?  Record Search and/or Interview:		■ N.	□ II1-					
Record Search and/or interview:	☐ Yes	■ No	Unk					
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No						
3.1 TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL ACT (TSCA):								
a. Is there a transformer, capacitor, or any hydraulic equipment known to	contain or	likely to co	ontain					
polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) or any records indicating the presence of	of such?							
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk					
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No						
3.2 ASBESTOS ABATEMENT AND INSPECTION:								
	If no fa	cilities the	n N/A					
a. Were any of the facilities located on the property constructed prior to 1	980?							
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk					
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No						
b. Have all facilities on the subject property been inspected by a certified	ashestos a	hatement t	eam?					
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk					
Observed during site visit:	Yes Yes	■ No						

c. Is there any documented evidence of asbestos (e.g., tests, surveys, man	agement p	lan) in any	of the			
facilities on the subject property?  Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk			
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No				
d. Has all friable asbestos on the property or within facilities on the subje	ct property	been rem	oved or			
become subject to an Operation and Maintenance (O&M) program so that it does not create the potential for human exposure?						
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk			
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No				
e. Does the site survey of pre-1980 construction identify potential asbesto boiler insulation, floor tiles, building siding, shingles, roofing felt, wall an ceiling tiles, window putty, fuse boxes, heat reflectors, air duct lining)?						
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk			
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No				
			l			
3.3 LEAD-BASED PAINT ABATEMENT AND INSPECTION:						
If there were			n N/A			
a. Were any structures or facilities on the subject property constructed pri	_					
Record Search and/or Interview:	■ Yes	No	Unk			
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No				
b. Has a screening test been conducted on the property for lead-based pair						
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk			
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No				
c. Did the results of the screening tests identify lead-based paint?						
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk			
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No				
d. Is any of the on-site paint peeling or chipped?	'					
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk			
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No				
			I			
3.4 FEDERAL INSECTICIDE, FUNGICIDE, AND RODENTICIDE ACT (FIFRA):						
a. Are there or has there been any pesticides, fungicides, or herbicides us						
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	☐ No	■ Unk			
Observed during site visit:	☐ Yes	■ No				
b. In greater than household quantities?						
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	☐ No	■ Unk			
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No				
c. Applied not in accordance with the manufacturers recommendations?						
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	☐ No	■ Unk			
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No				
d. Are there or has there been any pesticides, fungicides, or herbicides sto	red onsite?	)	•			
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk			
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No				

e. In greater than house-hold quantities?							
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk				
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No					
f. Have there been reports or evidence of a spill of any pesticides, fungicides, or herbicides on the subject property?							
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk				
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No					
3.5 MEDICAL/BIOHAZARDOUS WASTE:							
a. Has the subject property been used for chemical or biological testing?							
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk				
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No					
b. Has the subject property been used for burying medical or biohazardo	us waste?						
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk				
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No					
<b>3.6 MUNITIONS AND EXPLOSIVES OF CONCERN</b> (MEC - i.e., military munitions explosives safety risks, including: (A) unexploded ordnance (UXO), as defined in 10 U.S.0 military munitions (DMM), as defined in 10 U.S.C. 2710(e)(2); or (C) munitions constitue defined in 10 U.S.C. 2710(e)(3), present in high enough concentrations to pose an explosive and the same appropriate and leave are forcement actions assured.	C. 2710(e)( nts (e.g., T ve hazard.)	9); (B) dise NT, RDX)	carded , as				
a. Have any citizen complaints or local law enforcement actions occurred	d regarding	MEC on t	he				
subject property?							
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk				
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No					
b. Has the subject property served as a small arms test range or otherwise							
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk				
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No					
c. Are any ranges, berms, open burning/open detonation (OB/OD), traini subject property?	ng, or imp	act areas or	n the				
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk				
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No					
		•	•				
3.7 RADIOLOGICAL SUBSTANCES:							
a. Has the subject property ever been suspected to contain radioactive was	aste, includ	ing mixed	waste?				
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk				
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No					
b. Have radiological substances ever been used or services provided on t	he subject	property?	•				
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk				
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No					
<del>_</del>							
c. Has the subject property been surveyed for radon?		■ NT					
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk				
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No					
d. Did the radon survey indicate test results above 4 pCi/l (pico curies/lit							
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk				

Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
e. If a radon survey has not been conducted does the vicini curies/liter)?	ity exhibit radon above 4	pCi/l (pico	)
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
f. Do records indicate that nearby structures have elevated	indoor levels of radon?		
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
3.8 Clean Air Act	<b>.</b> 0		
a. Does the facility emit air pollutants into the environment		■ NT	
Record Search and/or Interview:	☐ Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
b. Is the facility a type for which new standards of performs C.F.R. Part 60 for a list of new source categories and applic		romulgate	d? See 40
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
c. Is the subject property in violation or has the facility beer	n in violation of the NSPS	or the per	rmit?
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
d. Is the subject property located in a nonattainment area?			
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
e. Will the subject property be subject to maximum attainab	ole control technology (M	ACT)?	
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
f. Is the capital expenditure required to meet the requirement			ew Clean
Air Act, i.e., is the facility required to reduce emissions bec			
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
g. Does the facility incinerate any wastes of any kind?			
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
3.9 ADDITIONAL ISSUES:	,		
a. Does the subject property exhibit any stressed vegetation	n or diseased wildlife?		
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	■ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	Yes	■ No	
b. Does the subject property have erosion problems (i.e., g	gullies, arroyos, sediment	loading du	ıring storn
Record Search and/or Interview:	Yes	☐ No	Unk
Observed during site visit:	■ Yes	☐ No	
c. Are there any floodplains or wetlands?			1

Record Search and/or In	terview:	Yes	No Unk					
Observed during site vis	Yes	No						
d. Are there any sinkholes?								
Record Search and/or Interview:								
Observed during site vis	sit:	Yes	No					
e. Are there any valuable mineral resources?								
Record Search and/or In	Record Search and/or Interview:							
Observed during site vis	sit:	☐ Yes ■	No					
f. Is mold present in facilities on	the property?							
Record Search and/or In	terview:	☐ Yes ☐	No Unk					
Observed during site vis	sit:	☐ Yes ■	No					
	·	•						
3.10 OTHER CONDITIONS:								
Are there any other conditions that exist on the su	bject property that should be considered in	n the decision/re	ecommendation					
plan? Describe.								
Columbia Point Marina contains a HREC. The columbia that no further action is necessary. Ba								
above ground storage tanks (Appendix D). All app								
анти до тако д								
3.11 ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:								
4.0 GOVERNMENT RECORDS/HISTORICA	AL DESCHIDEES INCHIDY							
	rnment record systems list the property or a	any property wi	thin the					
search distance noted below:	initiant record systems has the property of	any property wi						
Federal Government Source	Approximate Minimum Search Distance, miles (kilometers)	Res	ponse					
Federal NPL site list	1.0 (1.6)	Yes	■ No					
Federal CERCLIS list	0.5 (0.8)	Yes	■ No					
Federal CERCLIS NFRAP site list	property and adjoining properties	Yes	■ No					
Federal RCRA CORRACTS TSD facilities list	1.0 (1.6)	■ Yes	☐ No					
Federal RCRA non-CORRACTS TSD facilities	0.5 (0.8)	Yes	☐ No					
Federal RCRA generators list	property and adjoining properties	Yes	■ No					
Federal ERNS list per ECHO database	property only	Yes	■ No					
	stems list the property or any property with	thin the search	distance noted					
below:  State lists of hazardous waste sites identified	Approximate Minimum Search	Door	nonso					
for investigation or remediation	Distance, miles (kilometers)	Kes	ponse					
State – Equivalent NPL	1.0 (1.6)	Yes	■ No					

State – Equival	ent CERCLIS	0.5 (0.8	)	Yes	■ No		
State landfill ar	nd/or solid waste disposal lists	0.5 (0.8	)	Yes	■ No		
State leaking U	•	0.5 (0.8)		■ Yes	□ No		
State registered		`	y and adjoining properties	Yes	□ No		
c. Based upon a review of fire insurance maps or consultation with the local fire department serving the property, are any buildings or other improvements on the property or on any adjoining property identified as having been used for industrial use or uses likely to lead to contamination of the property? Please state remarks below.							
Remarks: All sites identified were reviewed and found to be resolved except Pappy the Stripper. That facility is located on 1300 Dakota Street and is outside of the Subject property. This adjacent property should be avoided.							
5.0 Interviews							
	Name		Position				
1	Matt Drumheller		Army Corps of Engineers, Environm	ental & Sustainab	ility Coordinator		
2	Jon McNulty		Army Corps of Engineers, Realty	Specialist			
3	Damian Walter		Army Corps of Engineers, Natura		cialist		
4			7 - 1 3 7	·			
5							
6							
6.0 Records							
1	Damian Walter		7				
2			8				
3	Dist. Wildlife Biologist		9				
4	USACE-NWW		10				
5			11				
6			12				
ASTM Practice this practice are recognized env	ironmental conditions in connection	of this re	the property. Any exceptort. This assessment has reveal e subject property.	eptions to, or de	letions from		
Environmental	Professional (Print) Kristen S	Shaco	chis-Brown				
Environmental	Professional's Signature SHACOCHIS- BROWN.KRIS		↑ PD∩\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	Date			
8.0 CERTIFIC		1	41:				
15.a.	The Environmental Professional Co	ompleting	this report:				
	Name: Kristen Shacochis-Brown Title: Senior Environmental Resource Spe	cialist					
	Organization: Army Corps of Engineers						
	Address: 301 Third Street, Walla Walla W		9362				
	Phone number: 509 527-7262						
	Date: 4/22/2022						
Qualifications: B.S. Forestry and Wildlife, M.S. Environmental Restoration, 21 years experience with Phase I ESA's							

Yakima River Delta Ecosystem Restoration Final Feasibility Report with Integrated Environmental Assessment Appendix B, Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive Waste Report

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITION OF PROPERTY, CON'T

"[I, We] declare that, to the best of [my our] professional knowledge and belief, [I, we] meet the definition of Environmental professional as defined in 312.10 of 40 CFR 312 and [I, We] have the specific qualifications based on education, training, and experience to assess a property of the nature, history and setting of the subject property. [I, We] have developed and performed the all appropriate inquiries in conformance with the standards and practices set forth in 40 CFR Part 312."

9.0 RECOMMENDATION:	
■I recommend that the recommended action for Yakima River Delta CAP 1135 be appro	ved and that the action proceed.
I do not recommend that the recommended action be approved and recommend that no further review and	
processing be done.	
OPM/ECC Signature	Date

# Appendix A Yakima River Delta CAP 1135 Bateman Island Corridor ERIS Database Report

This appendix is available upon request.